

MINUTES  
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT  
Called Meeting  
December 1, 2014  
5:00 P.M.

Attendees: Chairman Baxter, Rhodes, Vice-Chair Wilson, Young

Absent: Jones

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Vice-Chairman Wilson offered the following Motion:

I move that the reading of the minutes for November 24, 2014, be waived, and that the Minutes of said meeting, as prepared by the secretary, be approved as written.

The motion was seconded by Member Young and adopted (4).

**OLD BUSINESS**

**McMurry & Livingston Building, PLLC – Public Hearing – Variance – 201 Broadway.**

**NEW BUSINESS**

**Ricky King – Public Hearing – Variance – 408 South 17th Street.**

Member Young offered the following Motion

I move that this Board receive and file the application of Ricky King for approval of a 1' height variance request for a fence to be constructed to a height of 7' in the side yard of 408 South 17th Street.

I further move that:

(a) The requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity or in the same zone; and

(b) The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant; and (c) The circumstances are not the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought.

I further move that the variance be approved.

The Motion was seconded by Vice-Chairman Wilson and adopted (4).

**See Better Paducah, LLC – Public Hearing – Variance – 1130 Lone Oak Road.**

Member Rhodes offered the following Motion:

I move that this Board receive and file the application of See Better Paducah, LLC for approval of a 78 square foot variance request for a 90 square foot sign to be installed at 1130 Lone Oak Road.

I further move that:

(a) The requested variance arises from special circumstances which do not generally apply to land in the general vicinity or in the same zone; and

(b) The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant; and

(c) The circumstances are not the result of actions of the applicant taken subsequent to the adoption of the zoning regulation from which relief is sought.

I further move that the variance be approved.

The Motion was seconded by Vice-Chairman Wilson and adopted (4).

**DISCUSSION:**